Section 2.

The application of Non Newtonian constitutive equations to simple engineering flow geometries.

Application of simple constitutive equations to pipe /capillary and channel flow.

Pipes / capillaries. Easy experimentally, however results can be difficult to interpret. Problem is close to many engineering situations.

Relevance. 1) Engineering calculations, 2) capillary rheometry, 3) process understanding.

Laminar Newtonian flow Re $< \approx 2,000$

(Revision)



Force balance

$$\tau 2\pi \operatorname{rdx} - \frac{\mathrm{dP}}{\mathrm{dx}} \mathrm{dx} \pi \operatorname{r}^{2} = 0$$
General Result
$$\tau = \frac{\mathrm{r}}{2} \frac{\mathrm{dP}}{\mathrm{dx}} = \frac{\mathrm{r}}{2} \frac{\Delta \mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{L}}$$

$$\tau = \frac{\tau}{2} \frac{\mathrm{dP}}{\mathrm{dx}} = \frac{\mathrm{r}}{2} \frac{\Delta \mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{L}}$$
Shear stress is a linear function of radius
$$\tau_{o} = \frac{\mathrm{r}_{0}}{2} \frac{\Delta \mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{L}}$$
No difficulty here

The link between pressure drop and wall shear stress

Newtonian Constitutive equation

$$\tau = \eta \dot{\gamma} = \eta \frac{du}{dr} = \frac{r}{2} \frac{\Delta P}{L}$$

Integrate with u = 0 at $r = r_0$

(Assume No slip at wall – care sometimes needed here. We could add a slip velocity. See past Tripos question)

Some high viscosity fluids can slip at the wall, they take the path of least resistance.

Yields
$$u(r) = -\frac{\Delta P}{4L\eta} \left[r_o^2 - r^2 \right]$$
 Parabolic profile

Volumetric flow

$$Q = 2\pi \int_{0}^{r_{0}} r u(r) dr$$
$$\eta = \frac{\pi r_{0}^{4} \Delta P}{8 L Q}$$

Yields



your geometry with precision

Laminar, Newtonian and Linear

Note.....



Parabolic velocity profile



 τ and γ vary across capillary, this can lead to difficulties in interpretation for

tube

Non Newtonian fluids where $\eta(\gamma)$.

Capillary is a "variable stress Rheometer", results in nonuniform shear rate rheometer with complication for Non-Newtonian Fluids

Capillary/Pipe flow is potentially "complex" rheologically, because the strain rate/stress is not constant across the capillary/pipe.

Laminar pipe / Capillary flow of power law fluid





n < 1 Shear thinning, Velocity profile is flatter, generally goods news. Sharper RTD, but still low velocity components at wall. HT and MT correlations not significantly different to Newtonian



Laminar pipe flow of Bingham plastic $\tau = \pm \tau_y + \eta \gamma$



Constitutive equation

In region where there is relative fluid motion

 $\tau = \pm \tau_y + \eta \gamma$ scalar, need to assign the correct sign check τ_{v} acts against motion of fluid

$$\tau = \tau_y + \eta \gamma = \tau_y + \eta \frac{du}{dr} = \frac{r}{2} \frac{\Delta P}{L}$$

assume when $r = r_o$, u = 0, no slip bc

$$u = -\frac{\Delta P}{4L\eta} \left[r_0^2 - r^2 \right] + \frac{\tau_y}{\eta} \left[r_0 - r \right] \qquad \text{for } \tau > \tau_y$$

(check eqn. let $\tau_y = 0$, then Newtonian profile, OK)

when $\tau < \tau_y$ no relative shear i.e., $\gamma = 0$

$$\therefore \frac{\mathrm{du}}{\mathrm{dr}} = 0 \qquad \text{i.e., Plug flow}$$

$$\tau = \frac{r}{2} \frac{\Delta P}{L}$$
 $\therefore \eta = 2\tau_y \frac{L}{\Delta P}$



The effect of changing ΔP , for given τ_y (ex toothpaste)



CET 2B. Section 2, Eng analytic flow -2011

Pipe flow complications. (we consider two). Rheology

Capillaries sometimes are used as a rheometer . Issues to consider



Use pipe flow $\Delta P(Q)$ to identify form of constitutive equation.

Measure pressure drop (ΔP) as function of volumetric flowrate (Q)

Rabinowitsch Correction

 $\tau = \eta_a \gamma$ We need to know shear stress and shear rate at some location. Go to the wall!

$$\tau_{o} = \frac{r_{o}}{2} \frac{\Delta P}{L} \dots (1)$$
 Fine!

We now need γ_{o} shear rate at wall More difficult, but we know Q

$$Q = 2\pi \int_{0}^{r_{0}} r u(r) dr = 2\pi \left\{ \left[\frac{r^{2}}{2} u(r) \right]_{0}^{r_{0}} - \int_{0}^{r_{0}} \frac{r^{2}}{2} du \right\}$$

integrate by parts assumes no slip at the wall

$$BC \quad r = r_o, \quad u = 0$$

$$Q = -\pi \int_{0}^{0} r^{2} \frac{du}{dr} dr$$

Express \int in terms τ Change variables!

Now
$$\frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{r}_0} = \frac{\tau}{\tau_0}$$

So $\mathbf{Q} = -\pi \frac{\mathbf{r}_0^3}{\mathbf{\tau}_0^3} \int_{0}^{\tau_0} \gamma \tau^2 d\tau$ expressed in terms of τ
 $\frac{1}{\pi \mathbf{r}_0^3} \mathbf{Q} \tau_0^3 = -\int_{0}^{\tau_0} \gamma \tau^2 d\tau$

Differentiate , wrt τ_{o} , note Q is a function of τ_{o}

$$\frac{1}{\pi r_o^3} \left[\tau_o^3 \frac{dQ}{d\tau_o} + 3\tau_o^2 Q \right] = -\gamma_o^2 \tau_o^2$$

note $\tau_o = \frac{r_o}{2} \frac{\Delta P}{L}$

shear rate at the wall

$$-\gamma_{o} = \frac{1}{\pi r_{o}^{3}} \left[3Q + \Delta P \frac{dQ}{d(\Delta P)} \right] \dots (2)$$

volumetric flow rate (Q) differential of Q Vs ΔP



So if you know $\Delta P(Q)$



We have obtained the 'flow curve' for fluid without presupposing a rheology

A further complication. Less mathematical, but important. Entry pressure drop. Most capillary rheometers have an entry section of where there is an associated entry pressure drop.



Use "**Bagley**" correction. Requires experiments with Different L/ r_o ratios Bagley (generally incorrectly) assumed that entry ΔP was equivalent to an added capillary length nr_o

$$\tau_0 = \frac{\Delta P_t r_0}{2(L + nr_0)} \text{ added length}$$

If Bagley assumption is true then the lines will go through a common intercept (sometimes they don't!)



Analytic and numerical solns give $\Delta P_1 = 2.3 \tau_0$ τ_0 in capillary then n=1.15 But beware, for polymer fluids n >> 1

Rotational, (Torsion flow)

Relevant to Couette viscometers and stirred tank mixing vessels

Engineering flows

Beware Taylor Vortices (Large gaps > 1 cm) Re > 1

G.I. Taylor

Flow in circular orbits what is γ ?

Couette:- Viscosity of gases $\eta \sim 10^{-5}$ Measured by Maurice Couette.



Shaft rotating in a Newtonian fluid





Net torque Γ_v given by

 $\Gamma_n = I \dot{\omega}$ where I = moment of inertia $\dot{\omega}$ = angular acceleration

For steady rotation

$$\omega = 0$$

$$\therefore \Gamma_{n} = 0$$

$$\Gamma_{n} = \Gamma_{1} + 2\Pi r^{2} \tau L = 0$$

$$\tau = -\frac{\Gamma_{1}}{2\Pi L} \frac{1}{r^{2}}$$

$$\Gamma_{1} = -2\Pi r^{2} L \tau$$

Constitutive Equation So $\frac{\Gamma_1}{2\Pi L} = -\eta r^3 \frac{d\omega}{dr}$ BC $\omega = \omega_1 \text{ at } r = r_1$ $\omega = 0 \text{ at } r = r_2 \text{ say}$ $\frac{\text{at } r}{\omega}$ $\omega_1 - \omega(r) = \frac{\Gamma_1}{4\Pi \eta L} \left[\frac{1}{r_1^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right]$

CET 2B. Section 2, Eng analytic flow -2011

Angular velocity at r

at
$$r_1$$
 gives ω at $r_1 = \omega_1 \frac{\Gamma_1}{4\Pi\eta L} = \omega_1 \frac{\eta^2 r_2^2}{(r_2^2 - r_1^2)}$
 $\Rightarrow \omega_1 - \omega = \omega_1 \frac{r_1^2 r_2^2}{(r_2^2 - r_1^2)} \left[\frac{1}{r_1^2} - \frac{1}{r_2^2} \right] \dots (1)$
Surprise result:- $\omega(r)$ ind of η
Shear rate $\dot{\gamma} = r \frac{d\omega}{dr} = -2\omega \frac{r_1^2 r_2^2}{(r_2^2 - r_1^2)} \frac{1}{r^2}$
Shear rate $\dot{\gamma} = r \frac{d\omega}{dr} = -2\omega \frac{r_1^2 r_2^2}{(r_2^2 - r_1^2)} \frac{1}{r^2}$
Shear rate $\dot{\gamma} = \alpha$ constant across gap
So $\eta = \frac{\Gamma_1}{4\Pi\omega L} \left[\frac{1}{r_1^2} - \frac{1}{r_2^2} \right]$
The Couette viscometer
Stirred tanks
 $\delta 0$ rpm $\omega_1 = 2\Pi = 6.28$ rad/s
Shaft $D = 25$ mm
Eqn (1) gives $\omega = \frac{\omega_1}{2}$ at $r = 0.017$ m
Mixing very poor.
So use an impeller!
for small gap:-
Large $r_1 = 20$ cm
Small gap:-
Large $r_1 = 20$ cm
Small gap:-
Large surface area = large torque

Power Law Fluid in rotational flow

Torque equation

 $\Gamma_{1} = -2\Pi r^{2} L \tau$ $= -2\Pi r^{2} Lk \left[r \frac{d\omega}{dr} \right]^{n}$

Yields

$$\omega_1 - \omega(\mathbf{r}) = \left[\frac{\Gamma_1}{2\Pi kL}\right]^{1/n} \frac{n}{2} \left[\frac{1}{r_1^{2/N}} - \frac{1}{r^{2/n}}\right]$$

. .

-



As before $n \approx 0.6$ $\gamma = r \underline{d\omega}$ dr





More localised than Newtonian. Power law mixing in rotational flow is more difficult than for Newtonian liquids.



$$\Gamma_{1} = -2\Pi r^{2} L \tau \qquad \tau = -\frac{\Gamma_{1}}{2\Pi L} \frac{1}{r^{2}} \qquad \text{as before}$$
$$\tau = -\tau_{y} + \eta \dot{\gamma}$$

$$\Gamma_{1} = -2\pi Lr^{2} \left[-\tau_{y} + \eta r \frac{d\omega}{dr} \right]$$
$$\omega_{1} - \omega(r) = \frac{\tau_{y}}{\eta} \ln \frac{\eta}{r} + \frac{\Gamma_{1}}{4\Pi \eta L} \left[\frac{1}{r_{1}^{2}} - \frac{1}{r^{2}} \right]$$

Binghams have a mixing problem

$$\tau_{y} \quad r > r_{2} \quad \dot{\gamma} = 0 \quad \text{no flow} \qquad r_{2}^{2} = \frac{\Gamma_{1}}{2\Pi L} \frac{1}{\tau_{y}}$$
stagnant outer region



Binghams can have serious mixing problems. Stirred vessels can have stagnant out regions.

Different geometries / Different forces balance elements.

Time for supervision 1